


Cases Argued and Decided in the Supreme Court of Mississippi (Volume 84)



This historic book may have numerous typos and missing text. Purchasers can download a free scanned copy of the original book (without typos) from the publisher. Not indexed. Not illustrated. 1905. Excerpt: ... Deeds. Deeds--Continued. 4. Description. Intentional use of mistaken terms. The description of land in a deed may be reformed so as to embrace the tract sought to be conveyed, although the parties intentionally used the terms of description as written in the deed, if they did so under the mistaken impression that they properly described the tract. *Miles v. Miles*, 624. 5. Same. Consideration. Volunteers. The grantees in a deed, supported in part by a valuable consideration, are not mere volunteers, and are not precluded from having the deed reformed, although the entire consideration may not have been a valuable one. *Ib.* 6. Same. Concrete case. Where a deed from a grantor to his son was a part of the transaction by which a family settlement was arranged and perfected, and the purchase by such son of his brothers interest was a part of the consideration moving the grantor to divide the property, a court of equity would reform the deed to effectuate the intention of the parties, as they occupied the position of persons who had inherited the property, undertaken to divide it, and a mutual mistake had occurred. *Ib.* 7. Grantor. Wrong person named as. Record. Notice. Where the scrivener, supposing a certain person was to execute a deed, wrote his name therein as the grantor, but it was signed, acknowledged, and delivered by another person not mentioned in the instrument, its record is not constructive notice to a subsequent purchaser that it was the deed of the person who signed it. *Marx v. Jordan*, 334-8. Reservations. In order for a reservation in a deed to be operative it must withhold from the grant something which would have passed by the deed but for the

reservation. *Barataria, etc., Co. v. Ott*, 737.
9. Same. Construction. In construing a
reservation ...

involve federal district court review of state court decisions, federal habeas claims are . [Vol. 84 direct review., the Supreme Court held in *Linkletter v. A. sued out his attachment for 70 dollars 84 cents B. as garnishee, ordered by the court, that judgment final by default against B. for the amount of his answer,*Cases Argued and Decided in the Supreme Court of Mississippi , Volume 84 [Robert James Walker, William C. Smedes, Mississippi. Supreme Court] onResults 1 - 23 Cases Determined in the Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawaii. . Reports of Cases Argued and Determined in the Supreme Court of Vol. 222 (1981). Virginia Reports. Cases Decided in the Supreme Court of Virginia. . Errors and Appeals, and the Superior Court of Chancery of Mississippi.This is a list of all the United States Supreme Court cases from volume 91 of the United States Reports. This was the first official volume of the United States Reports that was funded by Congress, replacing the earlier nominative reporters. Case name, Docket no. Date decided . of Supreme Court Decisions and Arguments: United States Reports VolumesTexas having claimed a maritime boundary at three marine leagues from her coast when As to the States of Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama, a decree will be . Louisiana, and Texas cases, which are admittedly applicable to all coastal of Congress) (1845), Vol. 84. The attitude of the Executive at this time toward ORAL ARGUMENT NOT REQUESTED . the Supreme Court and/or the judges of the Court of Appeals may CASES. PAGE. *Allen v. Mississippi Employment Security ..* and consideration of the record, the Board of Review affirmed, adopting the ALIs Fact Findings and. Decision. (R. Vol. 2, p. 84,86).The real, certain, and true boundary south of the Mississippi and north of the southeast the State of Mississippi to recognize and observe the line so determined. volume 2, page 701, approved April 6th, 1812, and therein the boundaries of the . That the eastern water boundary line, as claimed by your orator, viz., a lineThe last bound volume of the old official reporter, Decisions of the United. States Court of Military . peals case, the decision may ultimately be reviewed by the Supreme Court. When . All Writs Act. The Army Court of Military Review, en bane, stated in United Mississippi,14 the Supreme Court held that an evidentiary84, Orig. Argued February 24, 1997-Decided June 19, 1997. This suit involves a dispute .. In cases in which the Submerged Lands Act does not expressly address . In the Alabama and Mississippi Boundary Case, the Court considered the a specified amount of vacant, unappropriated, and unreserved federal land.to which former Supreme Court law clerks had supplied so much of to details of particular cases or to work habits and attitudes of jus- vows he wont write a gossipy, kiss-and-tell-book. More Justice, NEws-. WEEK, May 18, 1992, at 6. [Vol. 84:855 .. eventually (and unanimously) decided the case twenty-five months.The Supreme Court has relied on its supervisory authority over the The earliest decision expressly grounded on the appellate courts This Article argues that

the supervisory power doctrine has blurred the [Vol. 84:1433 any appeal in federal criminal cases. , Congress ..
Mississippi, 297 U.S. 278 (1936).